1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN			
3				
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)			
5) Case No. CR 11-135 Plaintiff,) Milwaukee, Wisconsin			
6	vs.) August 22, 2012			
7) 8:30 a.m. ARVIND AHUJA,			
8) VOLUME 6 Defendant.) PAGES 1048-1069			
9				
10	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL			
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES N. CLEVERT, JR. UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY			
12	APPEARANCES:			
13	For the Plaintiff			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Office of the US Attorney By: TRACY M. JOHNSON			
15	517 E Wisconsin Ave - Rm. 530 Milwaukee, WI 53202			
16	Ph: 414-297-1580 Fax: 414-297-4394			
17	tracy.johnson@usdoj.gov			
18	United States Department of Justice DC			
19	By: JOHN E. SULLIVAN MELISSA S. SISKIND			
20	Tax Division - Ben Franklin Station - PO Box 972			
21	Washington, DC 20044 Ph: 202-514-5196			
22	Fax: 202-616-1786			
	john.e.sullivan@usdoj.gov melissa.s.siskind@usdoj.gov			
23 24	U.S. Official Reporter: JOHN T. SCHINDHELM, RMR, CRR, johns54@sbcglobal.net			
25	Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography,			
	transcript produced by computer aided transcription. $\longrightarrow V$			

1	1 APPEARANCES CONT'D:	
2	ARVIND AHUJA: B (Present) T	Triebert, Finerty & St. John SC Sy: SHANNON A. ALLEN Woo Plaza East - Ste 1250 - 330 E
4	4 M	Tilbourn Ave Milwaukee , WI 53202
5	5 F	Ph: 414-271-0130 Pax: 414-272-8191
6	6	aa@ffsj.com
7		Jinston & Strawn LLP Sy: DAN K. WEBB
8		THOMAS L. KIRSCH II 5 W Wacker Dr hicago , IL 60601-9703
9	9 P	Ph: 312-558-5600 Cax: 312-558-5700
10	O d	lwebb@winston.com
11		MII Seliewills coll. Coll
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	1	PROCEEDINGS (8:33 a.m.)		
	2	THE COURT: Good morning.		
	3	IN UNISON: Good morning.		
	4	THE COURT: Be seated, please. Case No. 11-CR-135,		
08:34	5	U.S. vs. Arvind Ahuja. You're appearances, please?		
	6	MR. SULLIVAN: John Sullivan, Melissa Siskind, Tracy		
	7	Johnson, and Special Agent Geoffrey Cook for the United States.		
	8	THE COURT: Good morning.		
	9	MR. WEBB: Your Honor, Dan Webb, Tom Kirsch and		
08:34	10	Shannon Allen on behalf of Dr. Ahuja who is present in court.		
	11	THE COURT: Good morning to all of you as well.		
	12	IN UNISON: Good morning.		
	13	THE COURT: Last night the verdict form was reviewed		
	14	and revised along with the instructions, in light of our		
08:34	15	discussion late yesterday. I trust you've received e-mails of		
	16	these documents and invite your comments.		
	17	MS. SISKIND: I don't believe we received any e-mails.		
	18	MR. KIRSCH: I got one with the jury instructions last		
	19	night. I didn't get the verdict form but I've reviewed the jury		
08:35	20	instructions and they seem to be fine, consistent with what the		
	21	Court read in court yesterday.		
	22	THE COURT: I believe the e-mail should have been sent		
	23	to you, Ms. Siskind, and to Ms. Johnson as well.		
	24	MS. SISKIND: We did not receive it, Your Honor.		
08:35	25	THE COURT: Well, I will give you copies of the		

1 instructions which have been reordered a bit in light of what 2 occurred yesterday, and modifications were made where 3 typographical changes were necessary. I'll give you a few minutes to look the documents over. 4 MS. SISKIND: Your Honor, the first thing I noticed is 5 08:35 6 the case number is wrong, it's 11-CR-135, not 35. 7 THE COURT: 135. All right. That can be modified 8 easily. Is there anything else? 9 (No response.) THE COURT: I'll return in a couple moments so you can 10 08.36 have more time to look over the documents. 11 12 Has the defense looked them over? 13 MR. KIRSCH: Yes, Your Honor. I just looked at the 14 e-mail, it looks like it was sent to Melissa dot instead of 15 Melissa underscore Siskind. 08:36 16 THE COURT: Dots and underscores make a difference in 17 our dot-com society. 18 THE BAILIFF: All rise. 19 (Recess taken at 8:36 a.m., until 8:59 a.m.) 20 THE COURT: Be seated, please. 08:59 21 Have the parties had a chance to review the revised 22 documents? 23 MS. SISKIND: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Are they satisfactory to the government? 25 MS. SISKIND: Yes, Your Honor. 09:00

1 THE COURT: Are they satisfactory to the defense? 2 MR. WEBB: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: What we'll do is we'll bring the jury in and we'll have the bailiff sworn and I will ask that the 4 5 documents be taken to the jury room for the jury's use, and 09:00 6 deliberations will then commence. 7 THE BAILIFF: All rise. 8 (Jury in at 9:01 a.m.) 9 (Bailiff sworn.) 10 THE COURT: Members of the jury, the exhibits that you 09.01 11 may review are being brought to the jury room. You will each 12 have copies of the instructions as well as a copy of the 13 verdict. I do ask that you commence your deliberations at this 14 time, and that you, again, take note of the requirement that you 15 not do any type of research, that you not look at any materials 09:02 16 or consider any matters that were not presented during the 17 course of this trial. 18 Thank you very much. Please rise. 19 (Jury out for deliberations at 9:02 a.m.) 20 THE COURT: Be seated. 09:02 21

22

23

24

25

09:03

I would certainly suggest to the parties that you remain available for at least the first 30 minutes following the commencement of instructions, inasmuch as early on in deliberations there are questions. After that if you will be available for jury questions or any other matters I'd say within

1 30 minutes. All right? Is there anything else? 2 MS. JOHNSON: Not from the government THE COURT: Very well. We are in recess. 3 4 (Trial adjourned for continued jury deliberations at 5 9:03 a.m., until 3:40 p.m.) 03:40 6 THE COURT: Be seated, please. 7 The Court has received a note from the jury. It came 8 at approximately 2:55 p.m. this afternoon, and copies have been 9 provided to you. 10 For the record it states: 03.41 11 "What is the procedure for receiving testimony to 12 review?" 13 "2. Can we review Mr. Miller's testimony regarding 14 Dr. Ahuja's response that, quote, 'he would get back to him,' 15 unquote, regarding foreign bank accounts?" 03:41 16 It's signed by the foreperson. The government may 17 respond. 18 MR. SULLIVAN: Your Honor, I think these two questions 19 are really the same question. They're asking for a portion of 20 the testimony. We would ask that the Court respond to the 03:41 21 question that the jurors should use their collective 22 recollection of the testimony because they're not entitled to 23 just one small part of a transcript. 24 THE COURT: The defense. 25 MR. WEBB: We have a similar suggestion. We agree 03:42

essentially that -- I think the response, though -- if my understanding from my recollection of the case law is correct, my suggestion is the response should be -- and I wrote it down here, but that -- "We will not be able to provide you with witness testimony. You must rely on your individual recollections regarding witness testimony."

I think the case law talks about their individual recollections, and because there's really no such thing as a collective recollection. I mean, they reach are to rely upon their individual recollections. I think that's what the case law says, based on what I recall from the case law. So that's my suggested response.

THE COURT: Well, the Court is certainly in agreement with the bottom-line response to the jury's request, that is, the testimony of Mr. Miller should not be read to the jury.

The Court will craft a response and you will get a chance to look at it before it goes in and I will write it out now.

This is what I propose: "Mr. Miller's testimony will not be made available to you; therefore, you must rely upon your recollection of his testimony."

Do you wish to be heard?

MR. WEBB: Your Honor, the first question which was is there a procedure for viewing testimony, is there a procedure for reviewing testimony to review --

03:42

03:42

03:43 15

03:45 20

03:45 25

THE COURT: I don't think it's necessary to break the response down because it's apparent that together the questions are asking that Mr. Miller's testimony be read or otherwise made available to the jury. That's why I responded in that way. But it is clear that there are two questions written out, but the two questions really require only one response: "No."

MR. WEBB: I'm not trying to be disagreeable. I only

would suggest -- I thought they might be looking for a procedure to review more testimony than Mr. Miller's, and I thought we should be more assertive to make sure they know they're not going to get any witness testimony. But if you prefer not to I'm not objecting -- I'm not objecting to what you are going to -- I was trying to make it more comprehensive to avoid further questions.

THE COURT: I appreciate that view because I hadn't thought of the request as one that might be broader than a request that Mr. Miller's testimony be made available.

Does the government have any further comment? Because in light of what Mr. Webb has said it is perhaps prudent to make clear to the jury that transcripts will not be available to the jury.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SULLIVAN: We agree with that. That probably makes sense.

THE COURT: All right. "Testimony will not be made available to you. Therefore, Mr. Miller's testimony cannot be

03:46

03:46 10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13 14

03:46 15

16

17

18 19

03:47 20

21

22

2324

03:50 25

1 reviewed and you must rely upon your recollection of all witness 2 testimony." 3 MR. SULLIVAN: No objection from the government. 4 MR. WEBB: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. That will be typed up and 5 03:50 6 submitted to the jury. We will provide you with a copy of what 7 is given to the jury for your files. 8 All right? 9 MR. WEBB: Yes, sir. Can I raise one other quickie 10 while you're here, Your Honor? 03:50 11 THE COURT: Certainly. 12 MR. WEBB: My experience over the years is that -- I'm 13 always concerned that -- having jurors feel any pressure as they 14 deliberate reaching a verdict. They started at around 9:00 15 o'clock this morning. I would respectfully ask the court if at 03:51 16 5:00 p.m. you would simply send in a note that says "Would you 17 prefer to continue deliberating or would you rather come back 18 and resume the deliberations tomorrow morning at 8:30?" That 19 way they don't feel pressured. And 9:30 to 5:00 is a long day 20 of jurors talking to each other, and I would like to give them 03:51 21 that option so that they don't feel pressured. 22 THE COURT: Well, I certainly appreciate what you have 23 to say, but we will go until at least 6:00. 24 MR. WEBB: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Is there anything else? 03:51

	1	MR. WEBB: No, Your Honor.
	2	THE COURT: We will not I will not have them
	3	deliberate after 6:00, in part because they will be doing
	4	fire-alarm testing. Tomorrow morning we have naturalization
03:51	5	ceremonies that will be taking place in the morning. Hence, if
	6	it is necessary for you to enter the building at some point
	7	during the day and there is a long line, simply go to the CSOs
	8	and let them know you're coming to this courtroom and we'll make
	9	arrangements for you to bypass the line. Okay?
03:52	10	MR. WEBB: Thank you, Your Honor.
	11	THE COURT: All right. All right, we'll get the note
	12	to the jury and copies will be provided.
	13	THE BAILIFF: All rise.
	14	(Trial adjourned for continued jury deliberations at
05:13	15	3:52 p.m., until 5:13 p.m.)
	16	THE BAILIFF: All rise.
	17	(Jury in at 5:14 p.m.)
	18	THE BAILIFF: Please be seated
	19	THE COURT: Has the jury arrived at a verdict?
05:14	20	THE FOREPERSON: We have, Your Honor.
	21	THE COURT: Would you please hand it to the bailiff.
	22	(Verdict tendered to the court.)
	23	THE COURT: Would the clerk please read the verdict,
	24	and would the defendant please stand.
05:15	25	VERDICT

1 THE CLERK: Verdict in United States District Court, 2 Eastern District of Wisconsin, Case No. 11-CR-135, United States 3 of America vs. Arvind Ahuja. We, the jury, having been duly empaneled and sworn, 4 return the following verdicts: 5 05:15 6 Count 1. Willfully making and subscribing a false tax 7 return for calendar year 2006. 8 Not quilty. 9 Count 2. Willfully making and subscribing a false tax return for calendar year 2007. 10 05:15 11 Not guilty. 12 Count 3. Willfully making and subscribing a false tax 13 return for calendar year 2008. 14 Not quilty. 15 Count 4. Willfully making and subscribing a false tax 05:15 16 return for calendar year 2009. 17 Guilty. 18 Count 5. Willful failure to file an FBAR for calendar 19 year 2007. 20 Not guilty. 05:16 21 Count 6. Willful failure to file an FBAR for calendar 22 year 2008. 23 Not guilty. 24 Count 7. Willful failure to file an FBAR for calendar 25 year 2009. 05:16

1 Guilty. 2 Signed by the foreperson, dated August 22nd, 2012. 3 THE COURT: Starting with the foreperson and moving to 4 the left and then across the jury box moving to the right, was 5 this and is this now your verdict? 05:16 6 A JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. 7 A JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. 8 A JUROR: Yes. 9 Yes, Your Honor. A JUROR: 10 A JUROR: Yes, sir. 05:16 11 Yes, Your Honor. A JUROR: 12 A JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. 13 A JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. 14 A JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. 15 Yes, Your Honor. A JUROR: 05:17 16 A JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. 17 A JUROR: Yes, Your Honor. 18 Members of the jury, I discharge you at THE COURT: 19 this time with the thanks of the parties. Our system works 20 because of you. Each person charged with a crime is entitled to 05:17 21 a jury of his or her peers, a jury which has considered and 22 deliberated on the evidence and has applied the law as 23 instructed by the court. You've shown patience, you've devoted 24 your time, and you've certainly paid attention to the evidence

in the case, and on behalf of the parties I thank you and I ask

25

05:17

that you return to the jury room at this time.

THE BAILIFF: All rise.

05:18

05:18

05:19

05:19

05:19

(Jury out at 5:17 p.m.)

THE COURT: Please be seated. At the outset, on the basis of the verdict which was just returned by the jury, the Court does find that the defendant's motion for a judgment of acquittal which was previously filed and under consideration by the Court is deemed moot.

With that, I invite any comments that the parties wish to make. First of all, does the government wish to be heard?

MS. SISKIND: Yes, Your Honor. On the subject of bond, Your Honor, we do not oppose that the defendant be released on bond; however, we would ask a substantial increase in the amount of the cash bond from what it's previously set at. I believe it's currently set at \$5,000.

In light of the picture Your Honor heard about the defendant's finances in this case, the substantial tax loss related to these charges and the fact that the presumption of innocence has now been removed by the jury's verdict, the government would respectfully request a bond to be set at a higher amount. The government would recommend that the defendant be required to post both of his homes as collateral for his bond.

THE COURT: I turn to the defense.

MR. WEBB: Your Honor, we strenuously object to that.

There's no chance this defendant will not be here at any time this court orders him to be here. There's just no question.

Trying to make him post his homes at this point in time, there's just no factual basis to request that. And he's always been here, he'll always be here. There's no possibility he will not be here with all the ties he has to this community, and there's just no basis to make him post his homes.

THE COURT: Well, I do believe that there certainly is a basis for concern on the part of the government as to whether or not this defendant will remain in the community. On the other hand, I have not seen anything during the course of this case to suggest that the defendant will not appear for further proceedings, or otherwise cooperate in the preparation of a presentence report.

Let me look at something else, however.

(Brief pause.)

THE COURT: It would appear to the Court that the defendant should be required, at the very least, to be barred from encumbering or otherwise transferring any assets except in the ordinary course of his employment. I don't believe that any additional security would therefore be necessary.

Do the parties wish to be heard with respect to the same?

MS. SISKIND: Your Honor, we just raise one additional issue. Your Honor can see from a review of the docket the sheer

05:19

05:20

05:20

05:24

05:24

1 number of times the defendant has been permitted to travel 2 outside --3 THE COURT: I note that he was permitted to travel to Phoenix and --4 MS. SISKIND: I think there was at least a dozen --5 05:25 6 THE COURT: I know at least three that I just looked 7 at. MS. SISKIND: And he was also allowed to travel at one 8 9 point outside of the United States. 10 THE COURT: I will not allow any travel outside the 05:25 11 United States. So the defendant's passport is to be retrieved. 12 MS. SISKIND: I believe the clerk's office has had it 13 since the beginning of this case. We would ask that travel be 14 restricted to this district. 15 THE COURT: Yes. 05:25 16 MR. WEBB: Your Honor, he has traveled in the 17 Continental United States because of his children who are in 18 school in other parts of the country. And he's supposed to 19 travel next week, for example, to get his youngest daughter 20 situated in school. That would be an enormous hardship --05:25 21 THE COURT: I'm not going to restrict his travel any 22 more than it was otherwise restricted, except he may not travel 23 outside the Continental United States. His passport is to be 24 retained in that regard.

The defendant is, however, barred from, as I said,

25

05:26

1 transferring any assets except in the ordinary course of 2 business. And if there is to be any -- and he may not obviously 3 encumber any assets that he has. To the extent that there are transfers, minimal transfers of \$500 or less would not be 4 5 included in this. All right? 05:26 6 Is there any question respecting these limitations? 7 MR. WEBB: No, Your Honor. I had one other issue, 8 though, very quickly. On the statement by the Court that our 9 post-trial motion is moot, we did have -- he was convicted now 10 of 2009, and we did have -- our post-trial motion was directed 05:27 11 at 2009. 12 THE COURT: All right. I was thinking it was only to 2007 and 2008 -- 2006, 2007 and 2008. Let me look at it again. 13 14 But to the extent that 2009 is included then it would not be moot. 15 05:27 16 MR. WEBB: We filed a supplemental brief on 2009, 17 Your Honor. 2007 to 2008. The motion went to 2009. 18 THE COURT: Let me look at the last one. 19 Counts 7 and 8. 20 (Brief pause.) 05:29 21 THE COURT: 2009 would be Count 9. I do not see --22 MR. KIRSCH: Your Honor? 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 MR. KIRSCH: You may be looking at our supplemental 25 brief with supplemental authorities, but we moved for a directed 05:29

1 verdict on all the counts in the Rule 29 motion that was filed. 2 And then after the Court had some questions we filed that supplemental authority where we focused on 2007 and 2008. 3 But 4 our Rule 29 motion was for all years and all counts. THE COURT: All right. I was just looking at 152 5 05:30 6 which was the supplemental brief that you filed on the 21st. 7 I'll go back to look at the initial motion. Paragraph 5 does 8 say all counts, so it is not moot except as to the counts as to 9 which there were verdicts of not guilty. 10 All right. Now, in connection with the motion for 05:30 11 judgment of acquittal, I assume you are reiterating your request 12 for a judgment of acquittal? 13 MR. KIRSCH: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Do you wish to have time to supplement 15 what was previously submitted? 05:31 16 MR. KIRSCH: Yes. 17 THE COURT: That way would be most helpful because 18 then you can focus on which counts there were verdicts of 19 How much time do you need? quilty. 20 MR. KIRSCH: 14 days. Whatever the Court is inclined 05:31 21 to give. 22 THE COURT: I will give you whatever time you need. 23 MR. KIRSCH: 14 days then, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: I would assume the government would like 25 to respond in writing? 05:31

	1	MS. SISKIND: Yes, Your Honor.	
	2	THE COURT: How much time do you think you'd need?	
	3	MS. SISKIND: 14 days as well, Your Honor.	
	4	THE COURT: All right. Can the clerk give us a	
05:31	5	schedule for sentencing in this matter subsequent to submission	
	6	of the briefs.	
	7	(Clerk and Court confer.)	
	8	THE CLERK: Okay. The presentence report would be due	
	9	December 12th.	
05:34	10	Any objections to the presentence report are due	
	11	January 3rd.	
	12	Any motions and sentencing memoranda are due January	
	13	10th.	
	14	And a sentencing date of January 18th at 9:30.	
05:35	15	Actually, Counsel, I'm sorry, we're going to have to	
	16	change that time to 10:00 o'clock.	
	17	THE COURT: Is that satisfactory to both parties?	
	18	MS. SISKIND: Yes, Your Honor.	
	19	MR. WEBB: Yes, Your Honor.	
05:35	20	THE COURT: Is there anything else at this time?	
	21	MS. SISKIND: Not from the government, Your Honor.	
	22	MR. KIRSCH: There is, Your Honor. Exhibits. Is it	
	23	the Court's practice to give the exhibits back to the parties?	
	24	THE COURT: No.	
05:35	25	MR. KIRSCH: Okay. Your Honor, we ask we are going	

to file -- hopefully it's going to be an agreed motion, but

we're going to file a motion to seal some of the exhibits in the

case. We ask that the Court at least temporarily hold those

exhibits under seal so we can file something, say seven days.

But I want to give the government a chance to look at it before.

THE COURT: The exhibits will be retained in chambers

THE COURT: The exhibits will be retained in chambers and not forwarded to the clerk's office until the parties have had a chance to consider how they should be handled.

The Court notes that there are tax returns and other personal information in the exhibits which ordinarily should not be open to public scrutiny except under exceptional circumstances and, therefore, these materials will be held pending the receipt of a formal motion and/or stipulation by the parties.

MS. SISKIND: Your Honor, on a related issue

Mr. Kirsch's comment reminded me, three of the tax returns that

are in evidence are original returns that the IRS needs back.

So somebody will be giving your chambers copies of returns to

substitute -- certified copies to substitute for the originals.

THE COURT: Again, I urge the parties to work jointly in submitting requests and/or motions to the Court. All right?

Let me note, I did see something in the transcript that was not clearly ruled upon, and that was a motion for mistrial that the defendant made in the early portion of the case. I don't recall exactly the time. But by virtue of the

05:36 10

05:35

11 12

7

8

9

13

14

05:36 15

16

17

18 19

05:37 20

21

22 23

24

05:37 25

Court's ruling which followed that side-bar motion, the Court was denying the motion for mistrial. Just so that the record is complete and all of the pending motions are addressed in one fashion or another. Is there anything else? 05:37 MS. SISKIND: No, Your Honor. MR. WEBB: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Very well. We stand in recess. THE BAILIFF: All rise. (Trial concluded at 5:38 p.m.) 05:38

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN I, JOHN T. SCHINDHELM, RMR, CRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of Wisconsin, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings, and that the same is true and correct in accordance with my original machine shorthand notes taken at said time and place. Dated this 22nd day of August, 2012 Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Official Court Reporter United States District Court

1	I N D E X	
2	VERDICT	
3	PUBLISHED BY THE CLERK	7
4		
5	WITNESS EXAMINATION PAGE	
6	NO WITNESS TESTIMONY	
7		
8		
9	****	
10	EXHIBITS	
11	NUMBER DESCRIPTION OFFERED ADMITTED	
12	NO EXHIBITS	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		